For the Northern District of California

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

| 1 | 1 | | |
|----|---|---------------------|--|
| 2 | 2 | | |
| 3 | 3 | | |
| 4 | 4 | | |
| 5 | 5 | | |
| 6 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 7 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 8 | | Of CALIFORNIA | |
| 9 | 9 ENNOVA DIRECT, INC., | | |
| 10 | O Plaintiff, | No. C 10-01518 JSW | |
| 11 | 1 v. | | |
| 12 | A-DATA TECHNOLOGY (U.S.A.) CO., LTD., et al., | ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE | |
| 13 | Defendants. | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | 5 | | |

While this case was pending in the Eastern District of Texas, all defendants consented to have the case heard by a magistrate judge. Once a case is referred to a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), the reference can be withdrawn by the court only "for good cause shown on its own motion, or under extraordinary circumstances shown by any party." 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(6) (emphasis added); see also Dixon v. Ylst, 990 F.2d 478, 480 (9th Cir. 1993) ("There is no absolute right, in a civil case, to withdraw consent to trial and other proceedings before a magistrate judge."); Fellman v. Fireman's Fund Ins. Co., 735 F.2d 55, 58 (2d Cir.1984). Despite the requirement of extraordinary circumstances, defendant Transcend Information Inc. filed a declination of consent after this matter was transferred to the Northern District of California without providing any explanation regarding the withdrawal of its consent. /// /// ///

| Therefore, the Court HEREBY ORDERS Transcend Information Inc. to show cause in wi | iting |
|---|-------|
| by no later than May 12, 2010 why the reassignment order should not be vacated. | |

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 7, 2010

JEFFREY S. WHITE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE